



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/051,070	04/02/1998	STEPHEN CLIFFORD APPLEBY	36-1201	7570
75	590 11/07/2002			
NIXON & VANDERHYE			EXAMINER	
1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201			PHAN, THAI Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2123	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/051,070

Applicant(s)

Appleby, Stephen

Examiner

Thai Phan

Art Unit 2123

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug. 23</u>	1, 2002 ·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 20-30	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)					
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 20-30</u>	is/are rejected.				
	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are a) 🗌 accepted or b) 🗀 objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	nminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
application from the International Bu					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes					
a) The translation of the foreign language provision					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	ruc priority under 35 0.3.C. 33 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				
3) Intomation Discussing Statement(8) (FTO 1993) Fabor 1905).	at (1) and (1)				

Application/Control Number: 09/051,070 Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to applicant's response filed Aug. 21, 2002. Applicant's argument in the Brief for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. Claims 1-16, and 20-30 are pending in this Office action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-16 and 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al., patent no. 5,649,057.

As per claim 1, Lee anticipates method and system for speech language recognition employing a key word training model and non-key word model with feature limitations very identical to the claimed (Abstract and Summary of the Invention). According to Lee, the method and system includes:

means for outputting message to a user (Figs. 1 and 7, col. 5, line 28 to col. 6, line 61, for example),

means for receiving input from the user (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-6)

Art Unit: 2123

means for analyzing lexical structure (col. 3, lines 39-56, col. 5, lines 1-16), means for storing rules specifying grammatically allowable relationships of words input (Figs. 1, 7, cols. 5-6, for example),

a central processor Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7 for processing user dialogue, for example, of the present patent which includes lexical rules or grammar to recognize and handle the occurrence of words or spoken language through the input devices (col. 6, lines 5-14), contained in the lexical rules the relationships specifying by rules in accordance with the data specified in the transaction, key word objects or non-keyword objects, object attributes, etc. in the database of the system, a transaction storage means for containing data relating to allowable transactions between users interaction (Figs. 1 and 7) and independence upon recognition, to generate output dialogue in the most recent or current to meet real time requirement or time duration relying on constraints applied to the training model (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7) for recognizing dialogue language (col. 6, lines 5 to col. 7, line 31),

and an output means for making output dialogue available for dialogue purpose (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7).

As per claim 2, Lee anticipates method and system for speech language recognition employing a key word training model and non-key word model with feature limitations very identical to the claimed (Abstract and Summary of the Invention). According to Lee, the method and system includes: :

means for outputting message to a user (Figs. 1 and 7, col. 5, line 28 to col. 6, line 61, for example),

Art Unit: 2123

means for receiving input from the user (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-6)

means for analyzing lexical structure (col. 3, lines 39-56, col. 5, lines 1-16), means for storing rules specifying grammatically allowable relationships of words input (Figs. 1, 7, cols. 5-6, for example),

a central processor Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7 for processing user dialogue, for example, of the present patent which includes lexical rules or grammar to recognize and handle the occurrence of words or spoken language through the input devices (col. 6, lines 5-14), contained in the lexical rules the relationships specifying by rules in accordance with the data specified in the transaction, key word objects or non-keyword objects, object attributes, etc. in the database of the system, a transaction storage means for containing data relating to allowable transactions between users interaction (Figs. 1 and 7) and independence upon recognition, to generate output dialogue in the most recent or current to meet real time requirement or time duration relying on constraints, and such constraints are applied and removed in appropriate manner to the training model (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7) for recognizing dialogue language in an effective manner (col. 6, lines 5 to col. 7, line 31),

and an output means for making output dialogue available for dialogue purpose (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7).

Claim 2 is thus rejected in like manner.

As per claim 3, Lee anticipates dialogue or spoken language grammar which would include such as number, genders, etc.

Art Unit: 2123

As per claims 4-5, Lee discloses dialogue recognization (col. 3, General Description, col. 6, lines 5-14) based on such as semantic grammar rules, syntactic structures, lexicons, etc.

Which would include detect recognized errors as claimed

As per claim 6, Lee anticipates language training model for different target languages.

As per claims 7-11, Lee anticipates the system for use to recognize text, speech, voice, other peripheral device inputs for user dialogue, etc.

As per claim 12, Lee anticipated interactively interface for user which would include speech synthesizer as claimed for dialogue.

As per claims 13-15, Lee disclosed user interface (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7), including a computer, display, input means and graphic user interface for interactive with speaking user.

As per claim 16, Lee disclosed communication channel in a recognition network connected dialogue server remotely such as in central telecommunication system (Abstract).

As per claim 20, Lee dialogue recognition includes rules to recognize characters, numbers, etc. as claimed.

As per claims 21-23, Lee anticipates lexical rules of syntax, grammars, etc. which would include inflection rules as claimed.

As per claim 24, Lee anticipates method and system for speech language recognition employing a key word training model and non-key word model with feature limitations very identical to the claimed (Abstract and Summary of the Invention). According to Lee, the method and system includes:

Art Unit: 2123

means for outputting message to a user (Figs. 1 and 7, col. 5, line 28 to col. 6, line 61, for example),

means for receiving input from the user (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-6)

means for analyzing lexical structure (col. 3, lines 39-56, col. 5, lines 1-16), means for storing rules specifying grammatically allowable relationships of words input (Figs. 1, 7, cols. 5-6, for example),

a central processor Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7 for processing user dialogue, for example, of the present patent which includes lexical rules or grammar to recognize and handle the occurrence of words or spoken language through the input devices (col. 6, lines 5-14), contained in the lexical rules the relationships specifying by rules in accordance with the data specified in the transaction, key word objects or non-keyword objects, object attributes, etc. in the database of the system, a transaction storage means for containing data relating to allowable transactions between users interaction (Figs. 1 and 7) and independence upon recognition, to generate output dialogue in the most recent or current to meet real time requirement relying on constraints applied to the training model (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7) for recognizing dialogue language (col. 6, lines 5 to col. 7, line 31),

and an output means for making output dialogue available for dialogue purpose (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7). Claim 24 is thus rejected in like manner.

As per claim 25, Lee anticipates dialog conversion rules including lexicon rules or constraint rules or relation, and Lee anticipates such rules or conversation constraints would be removed or relaxed to optimally train and effective process (col. 7, lines 10-27).

Art Unit: 2123

As per claims 26-27, Lee requires hardware such as a digital signal processing processor as in the claims for performing such claimed limitations.

As per claims 28-29, Lee anticipates method and system for speech language recognition employing a key word training model and non-key word model with feature limitations very identical to the claimed (Abstract and Summary of the Invention). According to Lee, the method and system includes:

means for outputting message to a user (Figs. 1 and 7, col. 5, line 28 to col. 6, line 61, for example),

means for receiving input from the user (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-6)

means for analyzing lexical structure (col. 3, lines 39-56, col. 5, lines 1-16), means for storing rules specifying grammatically allowable relationships of words input (Figs. 1, 7, cols. 5-6, for example),

a central processor Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7 for processing user dialogue, for example, of the present patent which includes lexical rules or grammar to recognize and handle the occurrence of words or spoken language through the input devices (col. 6, lines 5-14), contained in the lexical rules the relationships specifying by rules in accordance with the data specified in the transaction, key word objects or non-keyword objects, object attributes, etc. in the database of the system, a transaction storage means for containing data relating to allowable transactions between users interaction (Figs. 1 and 7) and independence upon recognition, to generate output dialogue in the most recent or current to meet real time requirement relying on constraints

Application/Control Number: 09/051,070 Page 8

Art Unit: 2123

applied to the training model (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7) for recognizing dialogue language (col. 6, lines 5 to col. 7, line 31),

and an output means for making output dialogue available for dialogue purpose (Figs. 1 and 7, cols. 5-7). Claims 28 and 29 are thus rejected in like manner.

As per claim 30, due to similarity of claim 30 to 2, and Lee additionally anticipates a plurality of lexical rules for known natural languages conversation or language dialogue, and relationships of these rules for conversation (col. 3, General Description, col. 6, lines 5-23, col.

7). Lee also anticipates constraint or dialogue relations relaxation for rule-based learning to reduce memory requirement (Summary of the Invention and col. 7, lines 10-27). Claim 30 is also rejected in like manner.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16, and 20-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 1. US patent no. 5,696,962, issued to Kupiec, Julian, on Dec. 1997
- 2. US patent no. 5,797,123, issued to Chou et al., Aug. 1998
- 3. US patent no. 6,044,347, issued to Abella et al., on Mar. 2000

Application/Control Number: 09/051,070 Page 9

Art Unit: 2123

4. Kawahara et al., "Key Phase Detection and Verification for Flexible Speech Understanding," IEEE, 1996, pp. 861-864.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Phan whose telephone number is (703) 305-3812.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

October 31, 2002